## EXHIBIT 38

```
Page 1
 1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                  MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
 3
                        TAMPA DIVISION
 4
 5
     REBOTIX REPAIR, LLC
 6
               Plaintiff,
 7
     vs.
                                   Case No. 8:20-CV-02274
 8
     INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
 9
               Defendant.
     _____/
10
11
12
13
                      REMOTELY CONDUCTED
14
       VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RONALD LEE BAIR, JR.
15
          Livermore, California (Witness's location)
                     Monday, May 24, 2021
16
17
18
19
20
21
     Stenographically reported by:
     LORRIE L. MARCHANT, RMR, CRR, CCRR, CRC
     California CSR No. 10523
22
     Washington CSR No. 3318
23
     Oregon CSR No. 19-0458
     Texas CSR No. 11318
24
25
     Job No. 194222
```

Page 98 next exhibit. This will be from Folder 3. 1 Ι believe this will be Exhibit 14. THE STENOGRAPHER: I believe so. (Marked for identification purposes, 5 Exhibit 14.) MR. ERWIG: This will be 6'20'19 Bair to 6 7 Cooley. BY MR. ERWIG: 8 9 Screen share this with you, Mr. Bair. Q. Do 10 you see this on the screen in front of you? Yes, I do. 11 Α. 12 I'll draw your attention to an e-mail a Ο. 13 little bit lower on this thread. 14 Do you recognize this e-mail from 15 June 20th, 2019? 16 Yes, it does ring a bell. Α. 17 How do you recognize it? Q. I authored it. 18 Α. 19 What do you mean by that? Q. 20 Α. I wrote the e-mail from my e-mail address and sent it to a sales representative -- I believe 21 22 Jack Groner is one of our key accounts directors -and Matt Pate with whom we were working with at 23 24 USPI, or United Surgical Partners, I believe. 25 And down below there's an e-mail from Jack Q.

- 1 Groner to Matt Pate, yourself, and AJ Inacay.
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. It mentions a third-party company that's
- 5 placing nonapproved computer chips back into an
- 6 instrument; is that right?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- 8 Q. Your response later up the thread is --
- 9 well, withdrawn.
- 10 Matt then sends a question to Jack Groner
- 11 that asks "What section of the contract prohibits
- 12 reprocessing?"
- 13 Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Then you reply, "Hi, Jack/Matt. It's
- 16 traditionally in the second sentence of Section 3.4
- 17 of the sales license and service agreement."
- 18 Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And you specifically cite something that
- 21 you refer to as standard language in a section in
- 22 its entirety. Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. That cited language is "Customer will not
- 25 nor will customer permit any third party to modify,

- 1 disassemble, reverse-engineer, alter, or misuse the
- 2 system or instruments and accessories."
- 3 Do you see that?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 Q. Is it your understanding that that's
- 6 standard language in each sales contract that
- 7 Intuitive has with its hospital customers?
- 8 A. That is my understanding.
- 9 Q. And it's your understanding that the
- 10 standard -- withdrawn.
- 11 It's your understanding that the sales
- 12 license and service agreement is meant to stop the
- 13 hospitals from engaging third parties to repair
- 14 EndoWrists; right?
- MS. LENT: Object to the form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: One implication of the
- 17 limited license would include, as stated here, third
- 18 parties engaging in activities that would modify,
- 19 disassemble, reverse-engineer, alter, or misuse the
- 20 system or instruments and accessories.
- BY MR. ERWIG:
- Q. And it's your understanding that, in the
- 23 manner in which those terms are written into the
- 24 contract, that hospitals are not permitted to
- 25 utilize third parties to perform repairs or services

- 1 on their EndoWrists; right?
- 2 A. Could you clarify what you mean, "service"?
- 3 As I mentioned, there are user serviceable
- 4 components, and reprocessing and sterilization --
- 5 disinfection, cleaning, reprocessing, sterilization,
- 6 et cetera, could all be considered permissible
- 7 services under the licensing as long as they do not
- 8 modify, disassemble, reverse-engineer, alter, or
- 9 misuse.
- 10 Q. Well, let's talk about disassemble. If a
- 11 third party inserts a chip into the EndoWrist to
- 12 reset the use counter, that would constitute a
- 13 violation of Section 3.4; is that right?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. And the purpose of the sales license and
- 16 service agreement is to stop hospitals from using
- 17 third parties to performance those types of
- 18 services; right?
- 19 MS. LENT: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: We do not deem what you
- 21 stated to be a service, as I stipulated in my
- 22 previous response.
- BY MR. ERWIG:
- Q. Well, it's your understanding that the
- 25 sales license and service agreement is designed to

- 1 of the things you examined?
- 2 MS. LENT: Object to the form.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I believe one of the
- 4 objectives was potentially as it related to markets
- 5 or more cost-sensitive procedures. I don't know
- 6 that it was specifically related to individual
- 7 customers.
- 8 BY MR. ERWIG:
- 9 Q. Well, the refurbished instrument program,
- 10 it was your understanding that that program would
- 11 potentially expand the range of da Vinci surgeries
- 12 to other cost-sensitive procedures; right?
- 13 A. That may have been one mode or mechanism
- 14 through which we may have been able to achieve that
- 15 objective. That is correct.
- 16 Q. Intuitive never adopted a refurbished
- instrument model despite the potential to impact new
- 18 markets; right?
- 19 MS. LENT: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 20 THE WITNESS: We have not launched a
- 21 refurbished program.
- BY MR. ERWIG:
- 23 Q. Sir, that's because that refurbished
- 24 program, that would be a money loser for Intuitive;
- 25 right?

- 1 MS. LENT: Objection. Asked and answered.
- THE WITNESS: As previously stated, there
- 3 are many reasons why we may decide to engage or not
- 4 engage in any specific business practices or the
- 5 development of products within our portfolio and our
- 6 road map.
- 7 MR. ERWIG: I'm going to screen share our
- 8 next exhibit. This will be Plaintiff's Exhibit 20.
- 9 This will be 8'15'19 Bair to Davis.
- 10 (Marked for identification purposes,
- 11 Exhibit 20.)
- 12 BY MR. ERWIG:
- 13 Q. Do you see this on the screen in front of
- 14 you, Mr. Bair?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. Do you recognize this?
- 17 A. Yes, I do.
- 18 Q. How do you recognize it?
- 19 A. It was -- the communication was forwarded
- 20 to me in advance of a visit that I was making to --
- 21 I believe it was the New Orleans area where this
- 22 customer may have been located.
- 23 O. I want to scroll down to the first e-mail.
- 24 Who is Sherry Harvey?
- 25 A. She appears to have been the chief nursing

- 1 officer at Crescent City Surgical Centre.
- Q. I want to point to some of the bullet
- 3 points in Ms. Harvey's e-mail.
- In the first bullet Ms. Harvey writes,
- 5 "Please explain the notion that we have engaged in
- 6 the 'usage of unauthorized instrumentation.' What
- 7 gives Intuitive Surgical the right to determine how
- 8 Crescent City Surgical Centre uses instruments that
- 9 we own?"
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. Then in the second bullet point, Ms. Harvey
- 13 writes, "Please provide data that demonstrates that
- 14 patient safety is impacted by the safe, controlled
- 15 repair and reuse of robotic instruments."
- 16 Do you see that?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. Then Ms. Harvey goes on to say, "In lieu of
- 19 data that directly demonstrates this, please provide
- 20 any indication that patient safety is compromised.
- 21 Patient safety is our first concern, but we do not
- 22 act on vendor statements about safety; we act on
- 23 science."
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 A. She was saying it a long time before all

- 1 the people were about COVID. Yes, I do.
- Q. Were you able to provide Ms. Harvey with an
- 3 indication that patient safety was compromised by
- 4 the safe, controlled repair and reuse of robotic
- 5 instruments with the da Vinci surgical system?
- 6 A. I don't recall the final disposition of the
- 7 response to Ms. Harvey, but I am aware that it is
- 8 not incumbent on Intuitive to prove the safety or
- 9 efficacy of a third-party device or a device that
- 10 has been modified by a third party such that it is
- 11 rendered no longer an approved FDA device.
- 12 Q. Well, sir, that wasn't quite my question.
- 13 So let me reask it and focus on the question itself.
- Were you able to provide Ms. Harvey with
- 15 any data that demonstrated that patient safety was
- 16 impacted by the safe, controlled repair and reuse of
- 17 robotic instruments?
- 18 MS. LENT: Objection to form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I do not recall providing
- 20 data to Ms. Harvey related to products that have
- 21 been modified by other entities.
- BY MR. ERWIG:
- Q. Were you able to provide any indication to
- 24 Ms. Harvey that patient safety is comprised by the
- 25 safe, controlled repair and reuse of robotic

- 1 instruments?
- 2 MS. LENT: Object to the form.
- 3 THE WITNESS: We may have communicated to
- 4 Ms. Harvey that it was our understanding that these
- 5 modified devices were not approved by the
- 6 appropriate regulatory body whose principal aim and
- 7 objective is to protect patients as it relates to
- 8 both pharmaceuticals and medical devices. And so I
- 9 don't recall providing anything beyond that.
- 10 BY MR. ERWIG:
- 11 Q. I want to go to the next bullet point where
- 12 Ms. Harvey writes, "How does repair and reuse of
- 13 robotic instruments compromise 'product
- 14 performance'?"
- 15 Do you see that?
- 16 A. I do.
- 17 Q. Ms. Harvey writes, "We have audited the
- 18 quality system and testing protocols of our robotic
- 19 instrument repair partner as well as several
- 20 independent reports about materials degradation, and
- 21 we find no indication that the functionality of
- 22 robotic instruments is compromised when reusing the
- 23 instruments beyond the limited number of times
- 24 suggested by Intuitive Surgical."
- Do you see that?

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Then Ms. Harvey asks, "Please provide test
- 3 results, studies, or data that document why the
- 4 instruments should be limited to 10 or 15 uses."
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A. I do.
- 7 Q. Did Intuitive perform any research as to
- 8 the functionality of robotic instruments that were
- 9 repaired by Ms. Harvey's robotics instrument repair
- 10 partner?
- 11 A. I'm not privy to. We may have engaged in
- 12 as it relates to testing of those products. I don't
- 13 believe that we were provided the opportunity to
- 14 partner with that organization that was engaging it,
- and it was outside of my purview to explore those
- 16 sorts of questions.
- 17 Q. Mr. Bair, can you point the jury to any
- 18 scientific evidence that indicates that repair of
- 19 robotic instruments cannot safely be used for
- 20 surgery?
- 21 A. That is outside the scope of my
- 22 responsibilities as I do not engage in verification
- 23 and validation of our products prior to submission
- 24 to the FDA.
- Q. Well, Ms. Harvey is certainly saying that

- 1 the robotic repair program is important in her
- 2 hospital's efforts to provide the best possible care
- 3 for her patients.
- 4 Do you see that?
- 5 A. I do see that.
- 6 Q. Then Ms. Harvey is asking some questions of
- 7 Intuitive to understand your claims about patient
- 8 safety.
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 A. That is -- yes, I do see that.
- 11 Q. And were you able to provide any data to
- 12 Ms. Harvey in response to that request that the
- 13 safe, controlled repair and reuse of robotic
- 14 instruments was, in fact, compromising patient
- 15 safety?
- MS. LENT: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I believe the intent -- the
- 18 intent and spirit of our response was as it related
- 19 to compliance with the contract or the sales
- 20 licensing and service agreement that we'd engaged in
- 21 with Crescent City as well as our understanding and
- 22 awareness that Crescent City was using devices that
- 23 were not approved by the FDA or other regulatory
- 24 bodies.
- 25 ///

Page 157 1 BY MR. ERWIG: Hospitals care about patient safety; right? Q. 3 MS. LENT: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know that I can speak 4 5 to all of the things that hospitals care about. BY MR. ERWIG: 6 Well, is it your understanding that 7 hospitals don't care about patient safety? 8 9 Α. That is generally not my understanding. 10 Is it generally your understanding that hospitals, in fact, care very deeply for patient 11 12 safety? MS. LENT: Objection. 13 14 THE WITNESS: I refer to my previous 15 response. 16 BY MR. ERWIG: Well, it's a question of your 17 understanding, sir, as it relates to hospitals. 18 19 so I'll reask the question. Withdrawn. 20 Is it your understanding that hospitals care about patient safety? 21 22 It is my understanding that that is an Α. element of the multitude of things that hospitals 23 24 care about. 25 Ms. Harvey is, in fact, asking some

Q.

- 1 questions to determine whether Intuitive has any
- 2 data about the safety of repair of robotic
- 3 instruments; right?
- 4 MS. LENT: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 5 THE WITNESS: That is what she appears to
- 6 be asking about.
- 7 BY MR. ERWIG:
- 8 Q. Intuitive didn't provide any such data;
- 9 right?
- 10 MS. LENT: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 11 Can we move on?
- 12 THE WITNESS: I do not believe that we
- 13 provided data in response to this.
- 14 BY MR. ERWIG:
- Q. Are you, in fact, aware, Mr. Bair, of any
- 16 data that was provided to any hospital that asked
- 17 Intuitive for data on patient safety related to the
- 18 repair and reuse of robotic instruments?
- 19 A. As I mentioned before, that's -- the data
- 20 related to verification and validation to
- 21 demonstrate the safe and effective use of these
- 22 products in the marketplace is outside of my
- 23 purview. And so I am not personally aware of any
- instances where data has been provided, but there
- 25 certainly may have been as we are a fairly large

- 1 organization. And, again, I'm not an expert and/or
- 2 engaged in that space.
- 3 Q. Can you personally point to any data that
- 4 you've seen that indicates that repaired robotic
- 5 instruments are not able to be used safely by
- 6 hospitals?
- 7 MS. LENT: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 8 THE WITNESS: There was a reference in one
- 9 of the exhibits that we explored earlier in the day.
- 10 For example, the failure modes that were experienced
- 11 when instruments were attempted to -- where we
- 12 attempted to use them beyond their validated number
- of lives. That's the extent to which that I have
- 14 personal exposure to anecdotal results of life
- 15 testing. But, again, that is outside of my purview
- 16 and area of responsibility.
- 17 BY MR. ERWIG:
- 18 Q. Now, sir --
- 19 We can stop screen sharing this exhibit.
- Now, sir, you personally had communications
- 21 with hospitals that were using third-party repair
- 22 services for Intuitive's EndoWrists; is that right?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- Q. In any of your communications with any of
- 25 those third-party -- withdrawn.